

Benchmark Stars for seismic parameters

Margarida Cunha

WP124 Objectives

- Define forward, inverse, and glitch-related procedures for determining stellar **radii**, **masses**, and **ages** for stars of the core program, from a combination of seismic and non-seismic data.

Limitation

- Retrieved parameters are model-dependent.

Aim of studying benchmark stars

- Obtain independent accurate determinations of the stellar parameters to identify biases that may result from inadequacies in our stellar models.

Possible benchmark stars

- Stars in reach of interferometry (for radii).
- Stars in particular binary systems
 - Eclipsing +SB2 binaries (for radii and masses)
 - SB2 + Astrometric or visual/interferometric (for masses)

+ Asteroseismic data

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stochastic pulsators in reach of interferometry

Current limits: $V < \sim 7$ mag; Accuracy in radii $\sim 1\%$ for G-type solar-like star $V \sim 5$


Facilities: CHARA, VLT, NPOI

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stochastic pulsators in reach of interferometry

So far $< \sim 20$ main-sequence or subgiant stars:

No systematic differences found between seismic and interferometric radii.



Huber et al. 2012

Possible benchmark stars


➤ Stochastic pulsators in reach of interferometry

So far $< \sim 20$ main-sequence or subgiant stars:

After *Tess*: potential targets will increase to ~ 500 .

=> Even before PLATO we will be telescope limited, rather than target limited

=> need to select most promising targets:
e.g., well resolved
accurate parallaxes
most promising (PLATO) asteroseismic targets



Huber et al. 2012

Possible benchmark stars

- Stochastic pulsators in reach of interferometry

Relevant ongoing / planned campaigns

GAIA: benchmark stars (see Creevey's and Heiter's talk)

TESS: asteroseismology-interferometry sample

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stars in particular binary systems

Eclipsing, double-line spectroscopic binaries (for radii and masses)

Double-line spectroscopic binaries + Astrometric or visual/interferometric (for masses)

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stars in particular binary systems

Eclipsing, double-line spectroscopic binaries (for radii and masses)

DEBcat: DEBs with radii and masses with precision better than 2%
(Southworth 2014)

Unfortunately, most stochastic pulsators so far found in such systems are red giants.

Ultimately, validation with this type of binaries for main-sequence/subgiant stars will be done post-PLATO launch.

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stars in particular binary systems

Double-line spectroscopic binaries + Astrometric or visual/interferometric (for masses)

Very few known systems contain a main-sequence or subgiant stochastic pulsator (e.g, alpha Cen A and B, Procyon, 70 Oph) .

There is general agreement between seismic and dynamical masses for these few cases

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stars in particular binary systems

Double-line spectroscopic binaries + Astrometric or visual/interferometric (for masses)

After GAIA many more astrometric orbits will be available. Also the number of interferometrically resolved binaries is increasing.

For PLATO => find SB2 which are promising asteroseismic targets (or with confirmed pulsations post-TESS) and get RVs orbits?

Possible benchmark stars

➤ Stars in particular binary systems

Double-line spectroscopic binaries + Astrometric or visual/interferometric (for masses)

Relevant sources/ongoing projects

- SB9 Catalogue for spectroscopic binaries (Pourbaix et al. 2004, regularly updated)
- Masses of the components of SB2s observed with Gaia (Halbwachs et al, 2014, 2016; Kiefer et al, 2016).

Aim: obtain RVs orbital elements such that in combination with GAIA astrometry will provide masses with relative errors $\sim 1\%$.

Possible benchmark stars

A note on efficiency

Kepler Interferometric program → about 30 targets in ~5 years

SB2 GAIA project project → minimum masses for 10 binaries in ~5 years

Thank You!